Hochschule Rosenheim University of Applied Sciences







Saal S¹, Beutner K¹, Bogunski J¹, Obermüller K², Müller M^{2,3}, Grill E^{2,4}, Meyer G¹

Background and objective

Joint contractures are common problems of older people in geriatric settings [1,2]. They are characterised by restrictions in physiological joint mobility, and can even lead to immobility [3]. Older people with joint contractures may experience high levels of disability; limitations in mobility may lead to restricted participation [2,4]. The objective of this review is to determine positive and adverse effects of interventions for prevention and treatment of disabilities due to acquired joint contractures in long-term geriatric care settings.

Results

Our search revealed a total of 981 papers (Figure 1). Sixteen studies with 1001 participants met the inclusion criteria: 15 RCTs and one CCT (n=4 nursing homes, n=12 community).

Four studies reported on splints, six on active stretching exercises, and two on different types of physiotherapy. One study was identified in each of the following Ultrasound, categories: continuous passive motion machine, passive movement therapy, bed positioning programme.

Figure 2: Risk of bias summary



Methods

A systematic literature search was conducted (12/2014 to 2/2015) via Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, PEDro, CINAHL, the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP), and scientific congress pamphlets. Reference lists in the retrieved articles were reviewed for additional studies.

Two independent researchers carried out the selection of publications applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 1), data extraction and critical appraisal. Data of the included studies were extracted using the template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, and cross-checked for accuracy [11,12]. Disagreement was solved by discussion and consensus finding. As anticipated, included studies were heterogeneous in terms of settings, interventions and outcome measures. Therefore, a narrative synthesis was conducted, following generally accepted methods for systematic reviews [13]. Harvest Plots were used for visualisation [14].

Intervention Comparison Outcomes Setting Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow	 Any intervention for prevention and/or treatment of disability due to joint contractures Another intervention or usual care or non-treated control group Any aspect of functioning and disability as outcome Residential care facilities or community dwelling Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Intervention Comparison Outcomes Setting Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow	 to joint contractures Another intervention or usual care or non-treated control group Any aspect of functioning and disability as outcome Residential care facilities or community dwelling Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Comparison Outcomes Setting Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow cords identified the searching (Another intervention or usual care or non-treated control group Any aspect of functioning and disability as outcome Residential care facilities or community dwelling Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Outcomes Setting Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow cords identified the searching (Any aspect of functioning and disability as outcome Residential care facilities or community dwelling Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Setting Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow cords identified the searching (Residential care facilities or community dwelling Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Misc. Exclusion Figure 1: Flow cords identified tl	 Design: Randomised (RCT) and non-randomised controlled study (CCT) Language: English or German Date of publication: No limitation Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Exclusion Figure 1: Flow cords identified the searching (Participants with congenital contractures, contractures due to Dupuytren, ledderhose or burn scars Medication intervention or surgical therapy
Figure 1: Flow cords identified tl searching (v diagram
searching (hrough database Additional records identified through
	(n=906) other sources (n=75)
Re	ecords for screening after removal of duplicates (n=433)
Fu	Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=73) (n=73) Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n=57): • Other setting than long-term- care n=26 • No RCT/CCT n=8 • In a language other than English or German n=5 • Other research questions n=7

The methodological quality of the studies varied (Figure 2). Harvest plots visualise the effects of the included studies (Figure 3).

It seems that splints did not affect joint mobility. Active stretching programmes for healthy older people might work. Pain, spasticity, quality of life, activity participation limitations and restrictions were rarely assessed.



Annotation: Risk of bias summary

Other bias: Unclear sample size calculation, unclear inclusion/exclusion criteria, unequal treatment of both groups, non-defined or unclear primary/secondary outcome.



Identification

Screening

σ	
Ũ	
q	

¹Institute of Health and Nursing Sciences, Medical Faculty, University of Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Str. 8, 06097 Halle (Saale), Germany, Correspondence: Susanne.Saal@uk-halle.de

²Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometrics and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany ³Rosenheim University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Applied Health and Social Sciences, Hochschulstraße 1, 83024 Rosenheim, Germany ⁴German Center for Vertigo and Balance Disorders, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

This Systematic Review is part of the project JointConImprove – 'Developing and piloting a multifactorial intervention to address participation and quality of life in nursing home residents with joint contractures' which is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Grant 01GY1327A and 01GY1327B). Registration number PROSPERO: CRD42014015076.

Discussion

There is weak evidence on the effectiveness of interventions for prevention and treatment of disability due to joint contractures, particularly in established nursing interventions, e.g. positioning or passive movement. Most of the identified studies focussed on outcomes related to body functions and body structures, particularly on joint mobility. Aspects of activities involving more comprehensive outcomes were rarely focussed and aspects of participation or quality of life were not addressed at all. There were only few studies on interventions addressing frail older people in nursing homes.

Information

For further information on the project and references please follow the QR-Code or note this link: http://bit.ly/27Zj3gP.



Hochschule Rosenheim University of Applied Sciences







Interventions for Prevention and Treatment of Disability due to Acquired Joint Contractures in Long-term Geriatric Care: **References and risk of bias summary**

Saal S¹, Beutner K¹, Bogunski J¹, Obermüller K², Müller M^{2,3}, Grill E^{2,4}, Meyer G¹

References

1.Fergusson D, Hutton B, and Drodge A. The epidemiology of major joint contractures: a systematic review of the literature. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2007; 456:22-9.

2.Yip B, Stewart DA, and Roberts MA. The prevalence of joint contractures in residents in NHS continuing care. Health Bull (Edinb). 1996; 54(4):338-43.

3.Gnass I, Bartoszek G, Thiesemann R, and Meyer G. Joint contractures in older age. A systematic literature review. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2010; 43(3):147-57.

4. Fischer U, et al. Patients' view on health-related aspects of functioning and disability of joint contractures: a qualitative interview study based on the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Disabil Rehabil. 2014; 36(26):2225-32.

11. Higgins JPT and Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration 2011, Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

12.Hoffmann TC, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ. 2014; 348:g1687 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.g1687:electronic.

13.Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic review - CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. 2009, Available from http://www.york.ac.uk/crd/SysRev/!SSL!/WebHelp/SysRev3.htm.

14.Ogilvie D, et al. The harvest plot: a method for synthesising evidence about the differential effects of interventions. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2008; 8:8.

15.McPherson JJ, Becker AH, and Franszczak N. Dynamic splint to reduce the passive component of hypertonicity. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1985; 66(4):249-52.

16.Steffen TM and Mollinger LA. Low-load, prolonged stretch in the treatment of knee flexion contractures in nursing home residents. Phys Ther 1995; 75(10):886-895.

17.Jongs RA, Harvey LA, Gwinn T, and Lucas BR. Dynamic splints do not reduce contracture following distal radial fracture: a randomised controlled trial. J Physiother. 2012; 58(3):173-180.

18.Sheehan JL, Winzeler-Mercay U, and Mudie MH. A randomized controlled pilot study to obtain the best estimate of the size of the effect of a thermoplastic resting splint on spasticity in the stroke-affected wrist and fingers. Clin Rehabil. 2006; 20(12):1032-7.

19.Feland JB, Myrer JW, Schulthies SS, Fellingham GW, and Measom GW. The effect of duration of stretching of the hamstring muscle group for increasing range of motion in people aged 65 years or older. Phys Ther. 2001; 81(5):1110-7.

20.Kerrigan DC, Xenopoulos-Oddsson A, Sullivan MJ, Lelas JJ, and Riley PO. Effect of a hip flexor-stretching program on gait in the elderly. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2003; 84(1):1-6.

21.Christiansen CL. The effects of hip and ankle stretching on gait function of older people. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2008; 89(8):1421-8.

22.Cristopoliski F, Barela JA, Leite N, Fowler NE, and Rodacki AL. Stretching exercise program improves gait in the elderly. Gerontology. 2009; 55(6):614-20.

23.Watt JR, et al. Effect of a supervised hip flexor stretching program on gait in frail elderly patients. PM R. 2011; 3(4):330-5.

24.Light KE, Nuzik S, Personius W, and Barstrom A. Low-load prolonged stretch vs. high-load brief stretch in treating knee contractures. Phys Ther. 1984; 64(3):330-3.

25.Hobbelen JH, Tan FE, Verhey FR, Koopmans RT, and de Bie RA. Passive movement therapy in severe paratonia: a multicenter randomized clinical trial. Int Psychogeriatr. 2012; 24(5):834-44.

26.Worland RL, Arredondo J, Angles F, Lopez-Jimenez F, and Jessup DE. Home continuous passive motion machine versus professional physical therapy following total knee replacement. J Arthroplasty. 1998; 13(7):784-7.

27.Fox P, Richardson J, McInnes B, Tait D, and Bedard M. Effectiveness of a bed positioning program for treating older adults with knee contractures who are institutionalized. Phys Ther. 2000; 80(4):363-72.

28.Falconer J, Hayes KW, and Chang RW. Effect of ultrasound on mobility in osteoarthritis of the knee. A randomized clinical trial. Arthritis Care Res. 1992; 5(1):29-35.

29.Rajan RA, Pack Y, Jackson H, Gillies C, and Asirvatham R. No need for outpatient physiotherapy following total knee arthroplasty: a randomized trial of 120 patients. Acta Orthop Scand. 2004; 75(1):71-73.

30.Kudo M, et al. Analysis of effectiveness of therapeutic exercise for knee osteoarthritis and possible factors affecting outcome. J Orthop Sci. 2013; 18(6):932-9.

¹Institute of Health and Nursing Sciences, Medical Faculty, University of Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Str. 8, 06097 Halle (Saale), Germany, Correspondence: Susanne.Saal@uk-halle.de ²Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometrics and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany ³Rosenheim University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Applied Health and Social Sciences, Hochschulstraße 1, 83024 Rosenheim, Germany ⁴German Center for Vertigo and Balance Disorders, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

This Systematic Review is part of the project JointConImprove – 'Developing and piloting a multifactorial intervention to address participation and quality of life in nursing home residents with joint contractures' which is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Grant 01GY1327A and 01GY1327B). Registration number PROSPERO: CRD42014015076.